

54. Mrs. Neerja Singh and anr vs M/s. Vatika Limited

E-1633-2023

Present: - Mr. Sukhbir Yadav, Advocate for DH
Ms Sapna Yadav, Advocate for JD

On previous date, JD was directed to disclose its assets/properties by filing an affidavit to be sworn by anyone from directors of JD, preferably Managing Director. Learned counsel for JD intends to file affidavit which is incomplete. JD is in habit of filing of incomplete affidavit in every case against it. Only two immovable properties are mentioned which are out of territorial jurisdiction of this forum and stated to be attached in many cases. No detail of other properties (moveable or immoveable) including bank account is given in this affidavit. This is not compliance of order.


Learned counsel for DH requests to issue arrest warrants against the directors of JD.

As per order XXI Rule 41 (3) CPC for disobedience in such a manner, JD/directors of JD can be detained to civil prison for a period not exceeding three months.

Request of learned counsel for DH in this regard is allowed.

Issue arrest warrants against directors of JD to be executable by bailiff till next date. Directors of JD be taken into custody and be produced before this forum on or before next date. Learned counsel for DH is to file detail of directors of JD within one week.

To come on 12.08.2025 for further proceedings.


(Rajender Kumar)
Adjudicating Officer,
02.04.2025