

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY		6-9
Day and Date	Tuesday and 23.01.2024	
Complaint No.	CR/1571/2019 Case titled as Rashida Ridzhal and Krishna Ridzhal Vs VSR Infratech Pvt. Ltd. CR/1575/2019 Case titled as Rashida Ridzhal and Krishna Ridzhal Vs VSR Infratech Pvt. Ltd. CR/1576/2019 Case titled as Rashida Ridzhal and Krishna Ridzhal Vs VSR Infratech Pvt. Ltd. CR/1577/2019 Case titled as Rashida Ridzhal and Krishna Ridzhal Vs VSR Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	
Complainant	Rashida Ridzhal	
Represented through	Complainant in person with Ms. Nisha Gaur Advocate	
Respondent	VSR Infratech Private Limited	
Respondent Represented	Ms. Shriya Takkar Advocate	
Last date of hearing	28.11.2023/05.12.2023	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	

Proceedings

The present complaint vide order dated 26.08.2019 was dismissed as withdrawn on account of the written statement duly signed by the complainants stating that the complainants do not want to proceed with the complaint as the matter has been settled with the respondent and the same was recorded during the hearing by the adjudicating officer.

An application on 03.08.2023 has been filed by the complainants for revival of complaint wherein it is stated that the builder/promoter is not abiding the settlement agreement and not registering the property even after payment of Rs. 12,73,334/- as stamp duty. To justify his delay in filing the application, the applicant mentions that he is an NRI and a senior citizen who was unable to file the present application due to Covid 19 and was also suffering from tumor



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा मू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. विधाम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

of left kidney and brain tumor. Due to operation and treatment, he could not come back from Russia.

On the last date of hearing i.e 05.12.2023, the counsel for the respondent was directed to file the reply to the application for revival of the complaint and was also directed to explain as to why possession has not been handed over to the complainant and no conveyance deed has been executed till date despite having paid the consideration amount including payment of stamp duty charges.

The counsel for the respondent has supplied a copy of reply to the counsel for the complainant during proceedings.

The complainants to file response to the above additional documents placed on record by the respondent company stating the payment of assured return amounting to Rs.1,39,92,511/- inclusive of an amount of Rs. 40,88,506/- paid from October 2019 to June 2023. The complainants are directed to specifically file as to what claims in terms of settlement agreement remain to be honored by the respondent within a period of 2 weeks after supplying a copy to the counsel of the respondent. The above issue is in lieu of the three retained units under complaint No.6 to 9 after surrendering one unit No.430 and adjustment of its amount in the remaining three units.

Matter to come up on **19.03.2024** for further proceedings.

Ashok Sangwan
Member

Vijay Kumar Goyal
Member

Arun Kumar
Chairman
23.01.2024