


PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY		38 to 78
Day and Date	Friday and 25.08.2023	
Complaint No.	CR/2677/2020 Case titled as INDIRA GANDHI AND ROHIT GANDHI Vs SUPERTECH LIMITED	
Complainant	INDIRA GANDHI AND ROHIT GANDHI	
Represented through	Shri Gaurav Rawat Advocate	
Respondent	SUPERTECH LIMITED	
Respondent Represented	Shri Bhriugu Dhami Advocate with Shri Nikhil in person (IRP team)	
Last date of hearing	01.03.2023	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari	
Proceedings		
The counsel for the respondent states that the moratorium is there on entire Supertech Limited whereas as per clause 4.5 - page 65 of the compilation of judgment being filed by the counsel for the respondent clearly mentions that constitution of CoC is only for ECO village Project-II and interim directions in the impugned order dated 10.06.2022 passed by Hon'ble NCLAT, Delhi of para- V states that all other projects of the corporate debtor apart from ECO village project-II shall be kept as on-going project, the construction of all other projects shall continue under over all supervision of the IRP with the assistance of ex-management and its employees and workmen. Further, point-VI of same impugned order dated 10.06.2022 states that promoter shall infuse the funds as arranged by it in different projects which shall be treated as interim finance regarding which detailed account shall be maintained by the IRP.		
Part arguments heard.		
The IRP is directed to clarify and submit an affidavit as to which projects are under moratorium as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and		

other Courts within a period of one month. Further, IRP should submit a copy of updated status of the construction of various projects (the status which they must have uploaded on the website) under their supervision After that the authority will take a view about further proceedings regarding the various complaints filed in the authority.

Matter to come up on **24.11.2023** for further proceedings.


Sanjeev Kumar Arora
Member
25.08.2023