



PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY

Day and Date	Friday and 12.09.2025
Complaint No.	CR/1033/2024 Case titled as Shobha Jindal and Yograj Jindal VS Raheja Developers Limited
Complainant	Shobha Jindal and Yograj Jindal
Represented through	Shri Harshit Goyal Advocate
Respondent	Raheja Developers Limited
Respondent Represented	Ms. Vaishali proxy counsel
Last date of hearing	08.08.2025
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta

Proceedings

The present complaint has been received on 22.03.2024 and the reply has not filed till today.

The Authority observes that the matter for clarification of fact whether the moratorium applies to only one project, or all of the projects of the promoter was listed before the NCLAT on 25.08.2025. Hon'ble NCLAT vide order dated 25.08.2025 in **Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 2168 of 2024 titled as Navin M. Raheja Vs. Vipul Jain and Ors.** has passed the following order:

18. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that I.A. No. 756/2025 has been filed with respect to Section 7 application filed with regard to Raheja Revanta which application is not heard by the adjudicating authority, although application with regard to other different projects of the corporate debtor are being heard.

19. We only clarify that application is pending, if any may be heard, however, no final order be passed.

List this I.A. No.765/2025 along with the appeal on 24th September 2025.

The counsel for the respondent has placed on record a copy of the order dated 21.08.2025 passed by the Hon'ble NCLT, New Delhi in CP No. (IB) 284 of 2025



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम
CA/1033/2024

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.एच.आर. हाउस, सिविल लाइन्स, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

titled Sharvan Minocha & Ors. v. M/s Raheja Developers Ltd. In a similar matter of 4275 of 2023.

In terms of the aforesaid order, vide paragraph 21(a), a **moratorium** under Section 14(1)(a), (b), (c) & (d) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 has been imposed against the corporate debtor.

The relevant portion of the said order is reproduced herein below:

18. As a sequel to the above discussion and in terms of Section 7 (5) (a) of the Code, the instant petition I.B./284/2025 stands admitted and CIRP of M/s. Raheja Developers Ltd. shall be initiated.

21. We also declare moratorium in terms of Section 14 of the Code. The necessary consequence of imposing the moratorium flows from the provisions of Section 14 (1) (a), (b), (c) & (d) of the Code.

29. We further clarify that since the Corporate Debtor's project "Raheja Shilas (Low Rise)" is already undergoing CIRP pursuant to admission in separate proceedings, the present application, upon being allowed, shall result in initiation of CIRP against the Corporate Debtor in respect of all its projects, excluding the said project "Raheja Shilas (Low Rise)". Accordingly, all directions issued by this Adjudicating Authority in the present matter shall be confined to the Corporate Debtor as a whole, save and except the project "Raheja Shilas (Low Rise)".

In view of the aforesaid, the matter stands adjourned sine die. File be consigned to the record registry.

Arun Kumar
Chairman
12.09.2025