

Emaar India Ltd.
Vs.
Ved Prajapati
CM Nos.1834, 1835 & 1836 of 2024
In Appeal No.935 of 2024

Present: Ms. Tanika Goyal, Advocate along with
Ms. Ankita Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the appellant.

As per report from the registry, pre-deposit of Rs.6,64,896/- as envisaged by proviso to Section 43(5) of the RERA Act has been made by the appellant.

On merits, learned counsel for the appellant contended that occupation certificate was granted to the appellant-promoter on 30.05.2018 and thereafter offer of possession was made to the respondents-allottees vide letter dated 30.07.2018. Possession of the unit was handed over on 23.11.2018 and conveyance deed was also executed on 09.01.2019 in favour of the respondents-allottees. Three years three months thereafter, the complainant invoked the jurisdiction of the HRERA, Gurugram for grant of delay possession charges. As per her, the authority has erroneously passed the decree ignoring the following issues

- a) that the complaint was barred by delay and laches;
- b) that jurisdiction of the Authority was invoked after considerable delay of execution of the conveyance deed; and
- c) that interest on the DPC was awarded in violation of terms of BBA.

Appeal be registered.

Issue notice to the respondents for 05.04.2025.

Notice re: condonation of delay (CM No.1834 of 2024) as well.

Process be issued for this purpose.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

15.02.2025/Manoj Rana