

Sushil Kumar Sharma
Vs.
M/s Magic Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.

Appeal No.93 of 2021

Present: Mr. Sushil Kumar Sharma,
appellant in person.

Mr. Abhijeet Sohal, Advocate, on behalf of
Mr. Kaplil Madan, Advocate,
for the respondent.

There is a written request for adjournment on behalf of
counsel for the respondent on the ground that he is in personal difficulty.

Prayer has been opposed by Mr. Sushil Kumar Sharma,
appellant-allottee. He submits that he has travelled all the way from
Delhi to address arguments in the instant case.

Even otherwise, on perusal of the record, we find that the
case has been adjourned several times since its filing. We are, thus, not
inclined to accept the prayer for adjournment.

Purely, in the interests of justice, we grant one last
opportunity to address arguments, failing which, punitive costs shall be
imposed.

List on 18.10.2023.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Anil Kumar Gupta
Member (Technical)

14.09.2023
Rajni