

Sushil Kumar Sharma
Vs.
M/s Magic Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.
Appeal No.93 of 2021

Present: Shri Munish Kumar Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for
Shri Ankit Grewal, Advocate,
Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Shri Sirat Sapra, Ld. proxy counsel for
Shri Kapil Madan, Advocate,
Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent has sought an adjournment on the ground that Shri Kapil, Madan, Ld. arguing counsel is not in a position to attend the Court proceedings today due to some unavoidable circumstances. An e-mail has also been received with regard to the adjournment from Shri Kapil Madan, Advocate. Adjournment has not been opposed.

It has been pointed out that the Ld. counsel for the respondent has not so far filed the original *Vakalatnama* in this appeal. He is directed to file the same with the office of this Tribunal. Needful be done within two weeks.

Now to come up on 05th September, 2022 for arguments.

Inderjeet Mehta
Member (Judicial)
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal
Chandigarh

Anil Kumar Gupta
Member (Technical)

July 14, 2022
Manoj Rana