

Sushil Kumar Sharma  
Vs.  
M/s Magic Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.  
Appeal No.93 of 2021

Present: Appellant in person.  
for the appellant.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate  
for the respondent.

Admittedly, a meeting was held between the appellant and the representatives of the promoter but nothing material appeared to have emerged. Appellant submits that he has been thereafter following up the matter telephonically and otherwise, but no response has been received from the promoter (M/s Magic Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.).

On the other hand, counsel for the respondent has sought adjournment through email on the ground that he is unable to attend the Court due to some medical reason. This Court is not inclined to accept the prayer of the respondent. The conduct of the promoter appears to be casual. As per the appellant, he is being unnecessarily harassed by the promoter.

In view of above, this Bench has to consider whether appropriate action needs to be initiated against the respondent. Purely in the interests of justice, one last opportunity is granted to the respondent-promoter.

List on 07.08.2024.

Justice Rajan Gupta  
Chairman  
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

04.07.2024  
cl