

Pivotal Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

Vs.

Meenu Malhotra

Appeal No. 835 of 2022

Present: Mr. Omkar Chauhan, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Sanat Garg, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Adjournment is prayed for on the ground that the arguing counsel, Mr. Karan Kaushal is not available. Some time may be granted for him to appear physically and address arguments. No prior information of his non-availability has been received.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that he has travelled all way from Delhi to attend the hearing of this case. He, thus, opposes the prayer for adjournment.

In view of above prayer for adjournment is accepted subject to payment of Rs.2,000/- as costs in each case.

List on 08.05.2025.

Photocopy of this order be placed in files of Appeals No.836, 837, 840, 841 and 842 of 2022.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman

Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

26.03.2025
Manoj Rana