

Neelam Devi
Vs.
Shree Vardhman Township
Appeal No.800 of 2022

Present: Mr. Ripudaman Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Saurabh Gautam, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Admittedly, possession is already with the appellant-allottee. Only issue that remains pending pertains to the payment of an amount of approximately Rs.9/10 lakhs.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that there is a possibility of an amicable settlement between the parties, which would obviate the need to examine and adjudicate upon the technical issues involved.

In view of above, liberty to explore the possibility of amicable settlement is granted in view of the enabling provisions contained in Section 32(g) of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016 and judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of "Forum for People's Collective Efforts (FPCE) v. State of West Bengal and another".

Mediation be held between the parties on 16.03.2026 before one of us at 11.30 a.m sharp. Both parties either personally or through their Authorised Representatives shall remain present physically or through virtual mode.

Needless to observe that if the matter is settled on the basis of deliberation it would not act as precedent.

File be put up on the administrative side.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Dinesh Singh Chauhan
Member (Technical)

19.02.2026
Rajni