

Shakunt Yadav  
Vs.  
Orris Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.  
CM Nos.1505 and 1506 of 2023  
In Appeal No. 738 of 2023

Present: Mr. Mukul Gupta, Advocate,  
for the appellant.

Mr. Gupta has inter alia argued that (i) the Authority has erred in deducting 10% of the total sale consideration while ordering refund of the amount remitted by the appellant-allottee to the promoter. It has ignored the fact that default was on the part of the promoter. (ii) the Authority has also erred in not granting interest from the date due to the claimant.

Issue notice to the respondent for 26.04.2024.

Notice re: condonation of delay (CM No. 1505 of 2023) as well.

There is another application (CM No. 1506 of 2023) for exemption from filing the certified copy of the order. In view of the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed.

Process be issued for this purse.

Justice Rajan Gupta  
Chairman  
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

06.03.2024  
Manoj Rana