

BPTP Ltd.
Vs.
Sudha Vats
CM No. 93 of 2024
In Appeal No.716 of 2023

Present: Mr. Hemant Saini, Advocate with
Ms. Neha, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Gaurav Bhardwaj, Advocate and
Mr. Pratyush Sood, Advocate,
for the respondent.

As per Mr. Saini, pass over was sought in the instant appeal as he was on his legs before the Division Bench of Hon'ble High Court. Case has been taken up on second call. He submits that one last opportunity may be granted to explore the possibility of amicable settlement.

As learned counsel for the appellant has expressed his willingness to explore the possibility of amicable settlement, in the eventuality, a lump sum amount is acceptable to the allottee in full and final settlement of all her claims, the necessity of delving into the technical issues would be obviated

In view of above, liberty to explore the possibility of amicable settlement is granted in view of enabling provisions contained in Section 32(g) of the RERA Act and judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of "Forum for People's Collective Efforts (FPCE) v. State of West Bengal and another AIR ONLINE 2021 SC 231".

For this purpose, mediation be held between the parties on 19.05.2026 before one of us at 11.00 a.m. sharp. Both parties either personally or through their authorized representatives shall remain present physically or through virtual mode.

It is made clear that as the matter has been referred to mediation with the consent of the parties, in case any of the parties fails to appear, this Court shall impose punitive costs.

File be put up on the administrative side.

Needless to observe, if the matter is settled between the parties on the basis of amicable settlement, it shall not act as a precedent.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Dr. Virender Parshad
Member (Judicial)

11.05.2026
rana