

Vikas Mangla
Vs.
BPTP Ltd.
Appeal No. 646 of 2022

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Pratap Singh, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Hemant Saini, Advocate,
for the respondent.

On the last date of hearing, the following order
was passed in this case:-

*“Learned counsel have addressed at some
length.*

*One of the issues raised by counsel for the
respondent is with regard to subsistence of contract
beyond the period of execution of the conveyance
deed. According to him, arguments need to be
heard on this issue. He, however, submits that the
amount due to the allottee in terms of the order
passed by the Authority can be brought before this
Tribunal to be remitted to him, subject to final
outcome of this appeal.*

*Learned counsel for the appellant submits
that allottee is already in possession and
conveyance deed has already been executed in his
favour. He further submits that he agrees to
aforesaid proposal.*

*List on 15.03.2024 to enable Mr. Saini to
comply with his undertaking.”*

At the outset, Mr. Saini submits that he has
brought a demand draft of ₹10,65,045/-, same be retained by
this Tribunal till the decision of this appeal. This request,
however, cannot be acceded to as there is no procedure for the
same.

Respondent is at liberty to keep the said amount
in the shape of fixed deposit till the decision of the appeal.

List on 05.07.2024.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

15.03.2024
Manoj Rana