

Vimla Sharma
Vs.
BPTP Ltd. and others
CM Nos. 1229 & 1230 of 2023
In Appeal No. 600 of 2023

Present: Mr. Neeraj Goel, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Himanshu Monga, Advocate,
for the respondents.

On the last date of hearing, following order was passed in this case :

“There is written request for adjournment on behalf of learned counsel for the respondents on the ground that he is in grave personal difficulty.

In view of above, the case is adjourned to 11.09.2025.

In the meanwhile, it shall be ensured that no third party rights are created by the promoter over the unit in question.”

The matter has been repeatedly adjourned on the request of the respondent. Today again, similar request has been made. However, counsel for the appellant has informed that the allottee along with her husband has travelled all the way from Gurugram for attending the hearing of this case. No advance information was sent to them.

The Bench is, thus, not inclined to accept the prayer for adjournment. Purely, in the interests of justice, however, one opportunity is granted subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- as costs to be remitted to the appellant.

List on 14.11.2025.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

11.09.2025
dg