

Manju Verma
Vs.
BPTP
CM No.1203 of 2024
In Appeal No.557 of 2024

Present: Mr. Nitin Kant Setia, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Setia *inter alia* contends that arguments in the matter were heard by the Authority on 21.11.2023 and order was reserved. Judgment was delivered after lapse of 09 months on 13.06.2024 ignoring the time period laid down by the Apex Court for delivering the judgment; (ii) the findings are erroneous in nature as the delay possession charges has been restricted from the year 2009 to 2011 without taking into account the fact that the possession has not been handed over till now; (iii) the matters have been decided on the basis of facts contained in complaint No.1885 of 2022 as all complaints were filed separately by the same allottee, namely, Manju Verma. It was incumbent upon the authority to decide the cases by taking into consideration the facts of each case. He, thus, prays that the instant appeal needs to be remanded for decision afresh.

Appeal be registered.

Issue notice for 27.11.2024.

Process be issued for this purpose.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

25.10.2024
Manoj Rana