

Dhoot Infrastructure Projects Ltd.
Vs.
Anuj Mehra and others
CM No. 1059 to 1061 & 1265, 1266 of 2024
In Appeal No.553 of 2024

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate along with
Mr. Mayank Aggarwal, Advocate,
Ms. Tanika Goyal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Ms. Sapna Randhawa, Advocate,
for the respondents.

Ms. Sapna Randhawa, Advocate has filed Power of Attorney
on behalf of the respondents. Same is taken on record.

CM No1059 of 2024

Reply to the application (CM No.1059 of 2024) seeking
condonation of delay has been filed in Court. Same is taken on record.

Mr. Dawar submits that 23 days delay in filing the appeal
is inadvertent. Same occurred as the requisite documents could not be
made available by the officials of the appellant-company well in time.
Application is supported by an affidavit of Mr. Janardhana Authorized
representative of the appellant-company.

Ms. Randhawa has opposed the prayer for condonation of
delay. As per her, the approach of the appellant is casual in nature.

On perusal of the application, we are satisfied that
sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay. Accordingly, the
application (CM No.1059 of 2024) is allowed. Delay of 23 days in filing
the appeal is condoned.

CM No.1062 of 2024

Reply to application (CM No.1062 of 2024) seeking stay of
the impugned order has also been filed in the Court. Same is taken on
record.

List on 28.10.2024.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Rakesh Manocha
Member (Technical)

22.10.2024
Manoj Rana