

Puri Construction Pvt. Ltd.
Vs.
Vikas Chandra and others
Appeal No.55 of 2023

Present: Ms. Tanika Goyal, Advocate along with
Ms. Ankita Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Respondent No.1 in person along with
Mr. P.K. Saran, Advocate and
Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate.

None for respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that account of the allottee was declared NPA. As a result, considerable amount of Rs.98,19,809/-, out of that awarded amount by way of impugned order was credited in the account of State Bank of India (SBI)-respondent No.2. She submits that SBI did not appear before the Authority below.

Mr. Saran does not controvert the aforesaid contentions.

It appears that respondent No.2-SBI has not put in appearance before this Bench despite service. It is hereby proceeded *ex parte*.

List on 17.03.2025 for arguments.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

14.02.2025
Manoj Rana