

B.R. Singh
Vs.
ADTV Communication Pvt. Ltd.
CM Nos.1029 & 1030 of 2023
In Appeal No.521 of 2023

Present: Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

On the last date of hearing, the following order was
passed in this case:-

“This is an application (CM No. 1165 of 2024) seeking permission to effect service on the respondent through publication in the newspaper. As per counsel, need for substitution service has arisen as it has not been possible to effect service on the respondent through normal mode.

In view of the averments made in the application, prayer for service through substituted mode is accepted. Applicant-appellant shall be at liberty to effect service on the respondent by way of substituted mode i.e. through publication in two leading newspapers of the area where corporate office of respondent-promoter is situated.

List on 16.12.2024.”

Today, Mr. Sehgal submits that publication has been effected in light of the aforesaid order. He has placed on record copies of two newspaper clippings in this regard. It is evident that substituted service is complete. Respondent still remains unrepresented.

Proceed ex-parte.

To come up for arguments on 04.02.2025.

Photocopy of this order be placed in files of Appeal Nos. 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535 and 536 of 2023.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Rakesh Manocha
Member (Technical)

16.12.2024
Rajni