

Madhu Sareen Vs. BPTP Ltd.
Appeal No. 09 of 2018

Present: Mr. Nitin Kant Setia, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Hemant Saini, Advocate, with
Mr. Himanshu Monga, Advocate,
Ms. Neha, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Admittedly, allottee is already in possession of the unit. Mr. Saini submits that the respondent-company (M/s BPTP Ltd.) wishes to make earnest efforts for exploring the possibility of amicable settlement as the issue involved only monetary in nature.

In view of above, we grant liberty to explore the possibility of amicable settlement in view of the enabling provisions contained in Section 32(g) of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016 and judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of "Forum for People's Collective Efforts (FPCE) v. State of West Bengal and another".

Both parties either personally or through their authorised representative shall remain present before the Adjudicating Officer, Panchkula on 01.12.2025 at 11:00 A.M. Sharp. The Adjudicating Officer, Gurugram may supervise the proceedings. This Bench shall be apprised of further progress, if any, on the next date of hearing.

List on 13.01.2026.

Photocopy of this order be placed in file of Appeal Nos. 37, 47, 42, 26, 38, 23, 41, 36, 32, 19, 39, 24, 20, 27, 30, 29, 50 and 40 of 2018, 1470 of 2019 and 437 of 2022.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Dr. Virender Parshad
Member (Judicial)

Dinesh Singh Chauhan
Member (Technical)