

MadhuSareen
Vs.
BPTP Ltd.
Appeal No. 09of 2018

Present: Mr. Nitin Kant Setia, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Hemant Saini, Advocate along with
Mr. HimanshuMonga, Advocate,
Ms. Neha Singh, Advocate,
for the respondent.

..

Admittedly, the allottee has been granted
possession of the unit in question.

Mr. Setia submits that primarily four issues
pertaining to (i) EEDC; (ii) cost escalation; (iii) the period for
which allottee is entitled for DPC; and (iv) increase in the area
by the promoter need to be addressed.

Mr. Saini submits that an offer was also made to
settle the issue amicably but proved futile.

List the case for arguments on 20.01.2025.

Photocopy of this order be placed in file of Appeal
Nos. 37, 47, 42, 26, 38, 23, 41, 33, 36, 32, 19, 39, 24, 20, 27,
31,30,29, 43, 50 and 40 of 2018, 1470 of 2019 and 437 of
2022.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

12.11.2024
Mk-