

Emaar India Ltd.

Vs.

Prashant Bajaj

Appeal No. 499 of 2021

Present: Ms. Tanika Goel, Advocate, ld. counsel for the appellant.
Shri Syed Aquib Ali, Advocate, ld. counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent has filed the *Vakalatnama* of the respondent. The same is taken on record. Ld. counsel for the respondent has pleaded that the respondent is not being delivered the possession of the unit in spite of repeated request made by him.

Ld. counsel for the appellant states that the appellant has already offered the possession to the respondent vide letter dated 07.06.2017 subject to the condition of the payment of the amount due. The respondent –allottee is not paying the said amount.

The appellant-promoter is directed to file the statement of account showing the amount due against the respondent-allottee as per observations of the Authority in the impugned order with respect to the rate of interest to be charged on the payment due towards the respondent-allottee within three weeks with advance copy to ld. counsel for the appellant.

Now for further appropriate proceedings, to come up on 12.05.2022.

Justice Darshan Singh (Retd.)
Chairman,
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal,
Chandigarh

Inderjeet Mehta
Member (Judicial)

Anil Kumar Gupta
Member (Technical)

19.04.2022
rajni

