

SS Group Pvt. Ltd.
Vs.
Sandhya Sharma and others
CM No.525 of 2023
In Appeal No. 443 of 2020

Present: Mr. Yashpal Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Himanshu Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the appellant, at the outset, submits that there is no necessity for filing reply to the application under Section 64 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016. He further submits that possession of the unit has been handed over to the respondent-allottees.

Respondent-allottees are present in Court. A query has been put to them whether the possession has been handed over to them. However, they submit that possession of the unit has been handed over to them during the pendency of the appeal after a considerable delay. Yet, it is not in a habitable condition.

List on 16.01.2024 for final hearing.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Anil Kumar Gupta
Member (Technical)