

Sadravya Research Pvt. Ltd.
Vs.
Splendor Landbase Ltd.
CM No. 954 of 2023
In Appeal No. 437 of 2023

Present: Mr. Akshat Mittal, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. A.R. Takkar, Advocate along with
Mr. Aman Arora, Advocate,
Ms. Himani Bhadauria, Advocate,
Mr. Siddhant Jain, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Mr. Takkar, Advocate has filed Power of Attorney along
with Board Resolution on behalf of the respondent.

There is application (CM No. 954 of 2023) for
condonation of 71 days delay in filing the appeal. Application is
supported by an affidavit of the appellant.

Mr. Takkar seeks to file reply to the application for
condonation of delay. Reply is taken on record. He has, however,
opposed the prayer for condonation of delay on the ground that no
cogent reason has been given in the application for condonation of
delay.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

On due consideration of the matter, we feel that
sufficient cause is made out for condonation of delay. Application
(CM No. 954 of 2023) is, thus, allowed. Delay in filing the appeal is
hereby condoned.

List on 02.02.2024.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Anil Kumar Gupta
Member (Technical)

28.11.2023
Manoj Rana