

Magic Eye Developers Pvt. Ltd.
Vs.
Ravinder Singh Phaugat
Appeal No. 417 of 2022

Present: Shri GPS Baweja, Advocate,
Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Office report perused.

Appeal be registered.

As per the report of the office, the appellant has deposited a total sum of Rs.14,72,119/- to comply with the proviso to Section 43(5) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (for short, 'the Act'). The said amount is correct as per calculations made by our office. Thus, the provision of proviso to Section 43(5) of the Act stands complied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has inter alia submitted that the Ld. Authority while adjudicating the period for delayed possession has not considered the grace period as per the stipulation made in the agreement entered into between the parties.

Let notice of the present appeal be issued to the respondent for 16.12.2022 on filing the copies of the paper-book and registered cover etc. within four days.

Inderjeet Mehta
Member (Judicial)
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal
Chandigarh

Anil Kumar Gupta
Member (Technical)

09.11.2022
Manoj Rana