

Harish Jaggi
Vs.
M3M India Ltd.
Appeal No. 377 of 2022

Present: Mr. Rahul Diwan, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Archit Rana, Advocate,
for the respondent.

On the last date of hearing, the following order was
passed in this case:-

“Admittedly, appellant-allottee is already in possession of the unit. The case is now ripe for arguments. However, adjournment is prayed for on behalf of counsel for the appellant on the ground that the arguing counsel is unable to travel to Chandigarh due to traffic restrictions.

Adjourned to 20.05.2024.”

Admittedly, only question involved is as regards to entitlement of the appellant-allottee for delay possession charges (DPC), if any.

Learned counsel for the parties assure that they shall make an effort for amicable settlement of the issue involved.

A written request for adjournment has been circulated on behalf of counsel for the respondent on the ground that he is in personal difficulty. Similar request has been made by counsel appearing for the appellant.

This Tribunal shall be apprised of further progress in the matter, if any, on the next date of hearing.

List on 29.07.2024.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

20.05.2024

cl