

Emaar India Ltd. Vs. P.S. Technosystem
Appeal No.367 of 2022

Present: Sh. Randeep Singh Rai, Ld. Senior Advocate, with Sh. Kunal Dawar, Sh. Sanjeev Sachdeva, Ms. Rubena Virmani, Ms. Tanika Goyal, Sh. Siddharth Gupta, Advocates, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Akshay Bhan, Ld. Senior Advocate, with Sh. Amandeep Singh Talwar and Sh. Ravinder Singh, Advocates, Ld. counsel for the respondent/caveator.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has informed that the appellant-promoter has sent the letter to the respondent on 18.05.2022 along with calculations made by the Registry of this Tribunal to claim the set off as per direction No.(v) given by the Ld. Authority in the impugned order.

Ld. counsel for the respondent has contended that the calculations sent to them by the appellant is just the copy of the calculations made by the Registry of this Tribunal. He stated that it is not clear as to how the delayed payment interest was calculated, how much delay was there in payment of instalments.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has undertaken to supply the complete calculations during the course of the day positively.

Now to come up on 23.05.2022 for seeing compliance of Section 43(5) of the Act and for further appropriate proceedings.

Justice Darshan Singh (Retd.)
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal
Chandigarh

Inderjeet Mehta
Member (Judicial)

20.05.2022
rajni