

Splendor Landbase Ltd.
vs
Sadraya Research Pvt. Ltd.
CM Nos. 780 to 782 of 2023
In Appeal No. 354 of 2023

Present: Mr. A.R.Takkar, Advocate along with
Mr. Aman Arora, Advocate,
Ms. Shriya Takkar, Advocate
Mr. Archit Rana, Advocate
Mr. Prince Kumar, Advocate,
Mr. Dushyant Rana, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Akshat Mittal, Advocate along with
Mr. Arnav Mittal, Advocate
for the respondent.

Counsel for the parties submit that the matter has to be heard on merits in view of order passed by the Hon'ble High Court on February 10, 2025, relevant para whereof reads as under:-

“Needless to say that all the points raised by both the parties on the merits of the dispute are kept open and it would be open to both the parties to raise all pleas on merits in their respective appeals filed by them and the Appellate Tribunal would consider the same independently, in accordance with law.”

At this stage, however, learned counsel for the appellant has pointed out that application seeking condonation of delay be taken up for hearing in the first instance.

This prayer is accepted.

The CM filed by the appellant is supported by an affidavit of Mr. Manish Prakash, Authorised Representative of the appellant-company.

Mr. Mittal does not seriously oppose the prayer for condonation of delay.

On due consideration, we are satisfied that sufficient grounds are made out for condonation of delay. Application seeking condonation of delay is, thus, allowed and the delay in filing the appeal is hereby condoned.

Learned counsel pray for some time to address on merits.

On their joint request, the case is adjourned to 02.09.2025 for arguments on merits.

Photocopy of this order be placed in files of appeal Nos. 345 to 353 of 2023 and 355 to 364 of 2023.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Rakesh Manocha
Member (Technical)

01.07.2025
dg