

M/s Pareena Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
Vs.
Rahul Yadav
CM Nos. 683, 684 and 685 of 2024
In Appeal No.359 of 2024

Present: Mr. Kamal Jeet Dahiya, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Ms. Tanya Kandhal, Advocate, with
Mr. Zoheb Sidhu, Advocate,
for the respondent.

CM No. 684 of 2024

This is an application seeking condonation of 33 days' delay in filing of the appeal. Same is supported by an affidavit of Ms. Shubhangi Sharma, Authorised Representative of the appellant-company.

Reply to the application seeking condonation of delay has already been filed. Learned counsel for the respondent has opposed the prayer for condonation of delay.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and given careful thoughts to the facts of the case.

Along with the appeal pre-deposit of Rs.1,75,030/- has been made. This deposit is required to be made by the appellant-promoter to protect the interest of the allottees subject to final outcome of the appeal (see para no. 122-*M/s Neutech Promoters and Developers Pvt. Ltd., Vs. State of UP & Others etc. 2021 SCC online SC 1044*).

Accordingly, application seeking condonation of delay is allowed. Delay of 34 days' in filing of the appeal is condoned.

Main Appeal

List the main appeal for hearing on 06.03.2026.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Dr. Virender Parshad
Member (Judicial)

Dinesh Singh Chauhan
Member (Technical)

09.12.2025/Rajni