

Ayesha Jaggi and another
Vs.
M/s Pareena Infrastructure pvt. Ltd.
CM No. 95 of 2024
In Appeal No. 34 of 2024

Present: Mr. Shubhnit Hans, Advocate and
Mr. Anjanpreet Singh, Advocate,
Ms. Diya Sareen, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr. Yashvir Singh Balhara, Advocate for
Mr. Kamaljeet Dahiya, Advocate,
for the respondent.

At the outset, Mr. Hans submits that only grievance of the allottees is as regards the order passed by the Authority that the respondent was directed to refund the amount after deducting 10% from the basic sale price. As per him, the appellants are entitled for an amount of Rs.31,88,610/-. However, he further submits that the appellant is ready to explore the possibility of amicable settlement as only the monetary issues are involved.

Mr. Balhara submits that the promoter would not be averse to explore the possibility of amicable settlement.

In view of above, liberty to explore the possibility of amicable settlement is granted in view of enabling provisions contained in Section 32(g) of the RERA Act and judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of "Forum for People's Collective Efforts (FPCE) v. State of West Bengal and another AIR ONLINE 2021 SC 231".

For this purpose, mediation be held between the parties on 23.02.2026 before one of us at 11.30 a.m. sharp. Both parties either personally or through their authorized representatives shall remain present physically or through virtual mode.

File be put up on the administrative side.

Needless to observe, if the matter is settled between the parties on the basis of amicable settlement, it shall not act as a precedent.

Photocopy of this order be placed in file of Appeal No.35 of 2024.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Dr. Virender Parshad
Member (Judicial)

Dinesh Singh Chauhan
Member (Technical)