

Ms. Sangeeta Gupta
Vs.
M/s Vatika Ltd. and another
CM No.929 of 2023
In Appeal No. 300 of 2023

Present: Mr. Umesh Kaushik Advocate, along with
Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Respondent Ex-parte.

Learned counsel for the appellant has addressed at some length. They have primarily contended that there was no settlement between the parties as recorded in para 7 of the order passed by the Authority below. They contend that they can refer to the order sheet in support of their contentions. This apart, they submit that the date from which the interest has been granted is erroneous. Instead of January 2018, it should have been from the date of each payment. They also contend that their prayer in the complaint was for grant of possession of the plot in question. However, the Authority has granted the relief which was not prayed for.

On the last date of hearing, respondents were proceeded ex-parte. Respondents, thus, remain unrepresented today as well.

In view of submissions made before us today, we hereby direct that this Tribunal shall be apprised of the status of the plot in question (plot No.11, Street 11.1, Sector 88-A, at Vatika Express City, Gruguram) on the next date of hearing; whether any construction has been raised thereon.

Copy of this order be forwarded to the Authority at Gurugram.

Adjourned to 07.05.2024.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Anil Kumar Gupta
Member (Technical)

28.02.2024
Rajni