

Ms. Sangeeta Gupta
Vs.
M/s Vatika Ltd. and another
CM No. 929 of 2023
In Appeal No. 300 of 2023

Present: None for the appellant.

There is a written request for adjournment on behalf of counsel for the appellant on the ground that he is in personal difficulty.

As per the report from the Registry, service has already been effected on the respondents. However, they remain unrepresented.

Learned counsel for the appellant is, thus, at liberty to effect service on the respondent by alternative mode i.e. electronic means as well as through counsel who represented the respondents before the Authority below.

List on 05.01.2024.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Anil Kumar Gupta
Member (Technical)

24.11.2023
Rajni