

Sandeep Jain Vs Jitender Shukla

CM No. 741/2025

In Appeal No. 289/2025

Present: Mr. Shailabh Singhal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

..

Mr. Vineet Kumar Srivastava, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent and filed his Power of Attorney. Same is taken on record. He prays for some time to seek instructions and address arguments.

List on 01.07.2025.

At this stage, Mr. Singhal has expressed apprehension that the appellant may be arrested pursuant to arrest warrants, which have been sent to the City Metropolitan Magistrate, Delhi for execution. He has, thus, prayed for stay of operation of the impugned order passed by the Adjudicating Officer, HRERA at Panchkula.

Mr. Srivastava has opposed the prayer. As per him, the Adjudicating Officer, in his order, has observed that there has been disobedience of order dated 28.11.2024. Said order has been impugned herein. He seeks some time to address arguments at length.

In view of above, we are not inclined to stay the operation of the impugned order. We feel that opportunity of hearing needs to be afforded to both the parties. We, however, feel that execution of arrest warrants needs to be kept in abeyance till then. Ordered accordingly.

This direction is, however, purely interim in nature and shall enure till the next date of hearing only.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

Rakesh Manocha
Member (Technical)

10.06.2025/Manoj Rana