

Krishna Vs. M/s Vatika Ltd.

Appeal No. 21 of 2022

Present: Shri Ashok Tyagi, Advocate, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

{The Court proceedings conducted through VC}

Office report perused.

The appeal be registered.

There is delay of 18 days in filing the present appeal. The appellant has moved an application for condonation of delay.

Heard on the application.

In view of the reasons mentioned in the application and the directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Suo-Moto (C) No. 3 of 2020, the application is allowed. The delay of 18 days in filing the present appeal stands condoned subject to just objection by the respondent.

Heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has pleaded that location of the unit allotted to the appellant has been unilaterally changed by the respondent without any consent of the appellant. He contended that the appellant is entitled for the possession of the originally allotted flat. These grievances of the appellant have not been taken care of by the Id. authority in the impugned order.

Let notice of the present appeal be issued to the respondent-promoter for 31.03.2022 on filing the copies of paper book and registered cover etc. within three days.

Justice Darshan Singh (Retd.)
Chairman,
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal,
Chandigarh

Inderjeet Mehta
Member (Judicial)

Anil Kumar Gupta
Member (Technical)

15.02.2022
rajni