

Emaar India Ltd.
Vs.
Ruchika Ahuja
Appeal No. 94 of 2022

Present: Ms. Ankita Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Jagdeep Kumar, Advocate,
for the respondents (in Appeal Nos.93, 96, 97,
121, 132, 133, 138, 237, 238, 244 and 336 of
2022).

Mr. Nilotpal Shyam, Advocate
for the respondents (in Appeal Nos.82, 126, 128,
129, 250, 251, 481 and 154 of 2022)

Mr. Piyush Kumar, Advocate,
for the respondent (in Appeal No. 234 of 2022).

Learned counsel for the appellant prays for
adjournment on the ground that the arguing counsel is not
available today. She, thus, prays for adjournment.

Mr. Nilotpal, counsel for the respondents submits that
he has travelled all the way from Delhi but no advance information
was sent to him regarding the request for adjournment.

In such circumstances this Tribunal would normally
impose punitive costs. However, purely in the interests of justice,
this Court is refraining from doing so and grants another
opportunity to the appellant to address arguments.

To come up on 25.07.2024.

Photocopy of this order be placed in files of Appeal Nos.
93, 96, 97, 121, 132, 133, 138, 237, 238, 244, 336, 82, 126, 128,
129, 234, 250, 251, 481 and 154 of 2022.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

24.05.2024
Rajni