

Satish Hooda
Vs.
Sales Gallery
Appeal No. 117 of 2024

Present: Mr. Harikesh Singh, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Ms. Tanika Goyal, Advocate along with
Ms. Ankita Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Ms. Tanika Goyal, Advocate has filed her Power of Attorney along with Board Resolution. Same are taken on record.

Mr. Singh submits that possession of the units in question has not been given to the appellant in view of the fact that the revenue rasta (road) passes through Killa no.43 and 44 of Village Mewka to Dhorka as well as Mewka to Hayatpur.

In exercise of powers vested in this Tribunal under Rule 25(1) of the of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017, it is directed that original files pertaining to allotment of two units, which are subject matter of adjudication, shall be produced on the next date of hearing.

Mr. Singh points out that there is typographical error in the Memo of Parties. He may be permitted to move an application for carrying out the necessary correction.

Permitted to do so.

List on 18.03.2025.

Justice Rajan Gupta
Chairman
Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal

23.01.2025
Manoj Rana