

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
GANDHINAGAR**

Petition No. 2417 of 2024.

In the matter of:

Petition under Section 86 of Electricity Act, 2003 read with Order No. 05/2024 dated 31.08.2024 “Tariff Framework for Procurement of Power by Distribution Licensees and Others from Wind Power Projects for the State of Gujarat” passed by the Commission seeking extension of Scheduled Date of Commissioning (SCOD) of infrastructure being developed by the Petitioner for evacuation of power form its 200 MW wind farm located at Jamjodhpur to 220 kV Kalawad sub-station of Respondent/ GETCO, on account of occurrence of Force Majeure events.

And

IA No. 46 of 2024 in Petition No. 2417 of 2024.

In the Matter of:

Application under Rule 26 of the GERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004 read with Section 94 (2) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking urgent listing and hearing of the Petition.

Applicant/Petitioner: Suzlon Global Services Limited
Represented by : Ld. Advocates Mr. Mridul Chakravarty and Mr. Harshit Singh
V/s.
Respondent No. 1 : Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited
Represented By : Ld. Adv. Mr. Utkarsh Singh alongwith Mr. Shobhraj Jayswal
Respondent No. 2 : Gujarat Energy Development Agency
Represented By : Nobody was present.

CORAM:

**Mehul M. Gandhi, Member
S.R. Pandey, Member**

Date: 03/06/2025.

DAILY ORDER

1. The matter was kept for hearing on 29.05.2025.

2. Ld. Adv. Mr. Mridul Chakraverty, for the Applicant/Petitioner, submitted that the Petitioner has received the copy of detailed reply from the Respondent GETCO and wants to file rejoinder reply including certain details and data gap to the reply of the Respondent GETCO. Therefore, it is requested that the Commission while granting the adjournment, may also grant three weeks' time for filing the rejoinder reply in the matter and keep the final hearing of the matter on any other convenient date.
3. Ld. Adv. Mr. Aneesh Bajaj, appearing on behalf of the Respondent GETCO, submitted that the Respondent GETCO has already filed their reply in the matter and there is no objection to the adjournment as sought by the Petitioner. He also submitted that the matter may be listed in first week of July 2025 subject to convenience of the Commission.
4. Heard both the parties. We note that the Petitioner has been served a copy of reply by the Respondent and the Petitioner wants to file rejoinder-in-reply in the matter. Therefore, considering the request of the Petitioner to grant an adjournment to enable them to file its rejoinder-reply in response to reply filed by the Respondent in the present matter and the Respondent having no objection to the same, the matter is adjourned. The Petitioner would be at liberty to file its rejoinder-in- reply within three weeks from the date of hearing with a copy to the Respondent.
5. We also note that the Petitioner has filed IA being IA No. 46 of 2024 in the present Petition seeking urgent listing of Petition No. 2417 of 2024. Since, the Commission has taken up the main matter, the prayer in I.A. No. 46 of 2024 filed for seeking urgent listing of Petition No. 2417 of 2024 is satisfied. Accordingly, I.A. No. 46 of 2024 stands disposed of.
6. Next date of the hearing will be intimated separately.
7. Order accordingly.

Sd/-
[S.R. Pandey]
Member

Sd/-
[Mehul M. Gandhi]
Member

Place: Gandhinagar.

Date: 03/06/2025.