

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
GANDHINAGAR**

**Petition No. 1998 of 2021.**

**In the matter of:**

**Petition seeking extension of Scheduled Commercial Operation Date (SCOD) on (i) account of Force Majeure Events and (ii) delays solely attributable to Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation and consequential reliefs under Section 86(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Article 10.4 of Power Purchase Agreement executed between Goodwatts WTE Jamnagar Pvt Limited and GUVNL.**

Petitioner : Goodwatts WTE Jamnagar Pvt. Limited

Represented by : Ld. Adv. Mr. Krutarh Oza along with Mr. Achint Dwivedi.

V/s.

Respondent No 1 : Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited,  
Represented by : Ld. Adv. Ms. Kriti Soni alongwith Mr. Rahul Pareek

Respondent No 2 : Jamnagar Municipal Corporation,  
Represented by. : Nobody was present.

Respondent No 3 : Gujarat Energy Development Agency  
Represented by : Nobody was present.

Respondent No 4 : Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited  
Represented by : Ld. Adv. Ms. Kriti Soni alongwith Mr. S.K. Nair and Mr. K.K. Pandya

Respondent No 5 : State Load Despatch Centre - Gujarat  
Represented by : Ld. Adv. Ms. Kriti Soni alongwith and Mr. P. B. Suthar

Objector No. 1 : Mr. Nitin Maadam  
Represented by : Nobody was present.

Objector No. 2 : Utility Users' Welfare Association (UUWA),  
Represented by : Nobody was present.

Objector No. 3. : Laghu Udyog Bharti – Gujarat (LUB)

Represented by : Nobody was present.  
Objector No. 4 : MPSEZ Utilities Limited  
Represented by : Mr. Anil Rabadia

**CORAM:**

**Mehul M. Gandhi, Member  
S.R. Pandey, Member**

**Date: 11/01/2024.**

**DAILY ORDER**

1. The matter was kept for hearing on 28.12.2023.
2. At the outset, Ld. Adv. Mr. Krutarth Oza appearing for the Petitioner sought a short adjournment in the present matter submitting that the Sr. Counsel of the Petitioner is unavailable due to Christmas and new year vacation.
3. Ld. Adv. Adv. Ms. Kriti Soni appearing on behalf of the Respondent GUVNL has no objection to the adjournment sought by the Petitioner.
4. Office of the Commission has received an email on 26.12.2023 from the counsel of the Petitioner seeking the short adjournment on the grounds of unavailability of its arguing counsel in the matter.
5. Heard the parties. We note that the Counsel of the Petitioner has sought an adjournment on the grounds of the non-availability of its arguing counsel in the matter. Ld. Adv. of the Respondent as well the representative of the objector No. 5 not object the adjournment sought by the Petitioner. We also note that the Objector 1 to 3 neither remained present nor intimated their inability to remain present in the present matter.
6. Considering the request of the Petitioner, we decide to adjourn the matter in the interest of justice.

7. The next date of hearing will be intimated separately.

8. Order accordingly.

**Sd/-**  
**[S.R. Pandey]**  
**Member**

**Sd/-**  
**[Mehul M. Gandhi]**  
**Member**

Place: Gandhinagar.

Date: 11/01/2024.

