

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
GANDHINAGAR**

**Petition No. 1859 of 2020.**

**In the matter of:**

**Petition for adjudication under Section 86 (1) (f) and other applicable provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with the GERC (Terms and Conditions for IntraState Open Access) Regulations, 2015 for the unlawful denial of Medium Term Open Access from J.B. Renewable LLP to J.B. Ecotex LLP.**

Petitioner	:	J. B. Renewable LLP
Co- Petitioner	:	J. B. Ecotex LLP
Represented by	:	Ld. Adv. Mr.Arijit Mitra
		Vs.
Respondent No. 1	:	Dakshin Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Represented by	:	Ld. Adv. Ms. Ranjitha Ramchandran Alongwith Mr. K. B. Vasava
Respondent No. 2	:	Gujarat Energy Transmission Corp. Ltd.
Represented by	:	Ld. Adv. Ms. Ranjitha Ramchandran alongwith Mr. Shobraj Jaiswal
Respondent No. 3	:	Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Ltd.
Represented by	:	Ld. Adv. Ms. Ranjitha Ramchandran and Mr. J. P. Chavada
Respondent No. 4	:	Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Represented by	:	Nobody remain present.
Respondent No. 5	:	Energy and Petrochemicals Dept. GoG.
Represented by	:	Nobody remain present.

**CORAM:**

**Mehul M. Gandhi, Member**  
**S. R. Pandey, Member**

**Date: 30/05/2025.**

**DAILY ORDER**

1. The matter was heard on 28.11.2024.
2. Ld. Adv. Mr. Arijit Mitra appearing on behalf of the Petitioner submitted that the present Petition is filed for adjudication under Section 86 (1) (f) and other applicable provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with the GERC (Terms and Conditions for IntraState Open Access) Regulations, 2015 for the unlawful denial of Medium Term Open Access from J.B. Renewable LLP to J.B. Ecotex LLP.
  - 2.1. He referred the Order dated 26.11.2024 passed by the Commission in the IA No. 01 of 2024 in the present Petition for allowing to carry out the amendments in the main Petition and file the amended Petition.
3. Ld. Adv. Ms. Ranjitha Ramachandran appearing on behalf of the Respondent DGVCL submitted that as the Petitioner is yet to file its amended Petition hence the Reply would be filed after the Petitioner files amended Petition. She also submitted that the Respondent DGVCL would not allow the injection without the Wheeling Agreement.
4. Heard the parties. We note that the Respondent No. 4 MGVCL and Respondent No. 5 EPD, GoG, neither remained present nor communicated their inability to remain present in the matter. We also note that as the Petitioner's IA for filing the amended Petition is allowed by the Commission, hence let it be filed within a weeks' time with a copy to others. The Respondents are at liberty to file their

Replies within three weeks' time with a copy to others and the pleadings be completed within this period.

5. The next date of hearing will be intimated separately.

6. Order accordingly.

**Sd/-**  
**(S.R. Pandey)**  
**Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Mehul M. Gandhi)**  
**Member**

Place: Gandhinagar

Date: 30/05/2025.

