

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
GANDHINAGAR**

Petition No. 2513 of 2025.

In the Matter of:

Petition under Section 86 (1) (c), (e) & (f) and other applicable provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulations 80 & 82 of the GERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004 seeking extension of the time period for construction of the evacuation infrastructure and quashing of the letter dated 13.06.2025 issued by the Respondent GETCO.

Along with

IA No. 57 of 2025 in Petition No. 2513 of 2025.

In the Matter of:

Interlocutory Application filed under Section 94 (2) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulations 61 and 80 of the GERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004 seeking urgent listing of the matter, interim stay / injunction and appropriate interim Orders.

Petitioner : Tisza Solar Pvt. Limited,
5th Floor, M3M T-Point,
North Tower, Sector 65,
Gurgaon - 122 018, Haryana.

Represented By : Ld. Adv. Mr. Aditya K. Singh

V/s.

Respondent : Gujarat Energy Transmission Corp. Ltd.
Sardar Patel Vidyut Bhavan
Race Course Circle, Vadodara - 390 007.

Represented By : Ld. Adv. Utkarsh Singh along with Mr. Shobhraj
Jaiswal.

CORAM:

Mehul M. Gandhi, Member

S. R. Pandey, Member

Date: 18/10/2025.

DAILY ORDER

1. The present matter was listed for hearing on 08.10.2025.
2. Ld. Adv. Mr. Aditya Singh appearing for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner is developing a 50 MW wind power Hybrid project at 220 KV kamlapur Substation and the Petitioner has approached the Commission seeking extension for construction of evacuation line with bays along with metering system for the unforeseen reasons are stated below : -
 - (1) Delay in grant of approval under Section 68 and Section 164 of the Electricity Act, 2003;
 - (2) Delay due to Right of Way (RoW) issues;
 - (3) Lack of clarity regarding the banking facility
 - (4) Delay in CRP delivery due to market demand crisis
3. Ld. Adv. Utkarsh Singh appearing on behalf of the Respondent submitted that the burden of proof for the claim of the Petitioner lies on the Petitioner and the Petitioner is required to substantiate its claim and the Commission may verify the same. If there was any default or imprudence on part of the Petitioner, the Petitioner cannot be allowed extension. He further requested time to file its Written Submissions.

4. Heard the parties. We note that the counsels appearing on behalf of the Petitioner and Respondent have argued in the matter and requested time to file their respective written submissions, let the same be filed within one week time.
5. The matter will be reserved for Order thereafter if further hearing is not required.
6. Order accordingly.

Sd/-

[S. R. Pandey]
Member

Sd/-

[Mehul M. Gandhi]
Member

Place: Gandhinagar.

Date: 18/10/2025.

