

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
GANDHINAGAR**

Petition No. 2503 of 2025.

In the Matter of:

Petition under Sections 32, 33 and 84 of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking directions in the matter of large-scale continuous deviations from schedule by the Respondents.

Petitioner : State Load Despatch Centre – Gujarat
Represented By : Ld. Adv. Mr. Utkarsh Singh with Mr. P.B. Suthar and Mr. N.N. Makwana

V/s.

Respondent No. 1 : Deendayal Port Trust
Represented By : Nobody was present.

Respondent No. 2 : Varsana Ispat Limited
Represented By : Nobody was present.

Respondent No. 3 : Shree Renuka Sugars Limited
Represented By : Nobody was present.

Respondent No. 4 : Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Limited
Represented By : Nobody was present.

Respondent No. 5 : Goodwatts WTE Jamnagar Pvt. Limited
Represented By : Nobody was present.

Respondent No. 6 : Indian Railway Gujarat
Represented By : Nobody was present.

Respondent No. 7 : Nyara Energy Limited
Represented By : Ld. Adv. Mr. Bhash H. Mankad

CORAM:

**Mehul M. Gandhi, Member
S. R. Pandey, Member**

Date: 22/07/2025.

DAILY ORDER

1. The present matter was listed for hearing on 18.07.2025.
2. Ld. Adv. Mr. Utkarsh Singh, appearing on behalf of the Petitioner SLDC, submitted that the present Petition has been filed by the Petitioner seeking directions of the Commission in the matter of large-scale continuous deviations from schedule by the Respondents. He submitted that as the Respondents have not filed their respective reply in the present matter, the Commission may direct the Respondents to file their reply in the matter and also grant liberty to the Petitioner to file rejoinder reply to the reply of the Respondents.
3. Ld. Adv. Mr. Bhash Mankad, appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 7 Nayara Energy Limited, submitted the Commission may permit filing Vakalatnama on behalf of the Respondent in the present matter. It is requested that the Commission may also give some time to file reply in the matter and thereafter post the matter for hearing on any date subject to the convenience of the Commission.
4. When the matter was called out, nobody was present on behalf of the Respondents No. 1 to 6 although the hearing notice was issued to them by post as well as email. We note that the Respondents No. 1 to 6 neither remained present during today's proceedings nor made any written communication about their inability to remain present, despite being served the hearing notice to them. However, the Commission has received an email dated 17.07.2025 from the DPT stating that due to the limited time available for review DPT has not been able to thoroughly examine the contents of the Petition or prepare a comprehensive and informed response in the matter as the matter involves various technical and procedural aspects that require coordination with their internal departments and relevant stakeholders and accordingly requested that the Commission to grant an adjournment in the matter and allow them reasonable time to prepare and submit the reply in the matter.
 - 4.1. We also note that the Respondents have not filed their reply in the present matter. Accordingly, the Respondents are directed to file their respective reply, if any, in the present Petition, within four weeks' time, from the date of this Order, with a

copy to the Petitioner. The Petitioner would also at liberty to file its rejoinder reply, if any, within three weeks' time with a copy to the Respondents after receipt of reply from the Respondents. In view of the above, the matter stands adjourned in the interest of justice.

5. Next date of hearing will be intimated separately.
6. Order accordingly.

Sd/-
[S. R. Pandey]
Member

Sd/-
[Mehul M. Gandhi]
Member

Place: Gandhinagar.
Date: 22/07/2025.

