

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
GANDHINAGAR**

**Petition No. 2479 of 2025**

**In the Matter of:**

**Petition under Section 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulations 80 & 82 of GERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004 and Gujarat Renewable Energy Policy, 2023 & amendments thereof and Tariff Order dated 23.04.2024 praying before the Commission to exercise its powers under Section 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003 and direct the Respondent GETCO to grant extension of time for commissioning of 4.00 (3.90) MW AC Solar Power Project at Survey No. 259 Village - Dungri, Taluka-Valia District-Bharuch.**

Petitioner : M/s. Shree Ganesh Enterprise

Represented By : Mr. Jaydip M. Patel (Proprietor)  
V/s.

Respondent No. 1 : Gujarat Energy Transmission Corp. Limited  
Represented By : Ld. Adv. Mr. Aneesh Bajaj along with Mr. Shobhraj Jayswal

Respondent No. 2 : Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited  
Represented By : Mr. K. N. Brahmbhatt

Respondent No. 3 : Dakshin Gujarat Vij Company Limited  
Represented By : Ld. Adv. Mr. Aneesh Bajaj

**CORAM:**

**Mehul M. Gandhi, Member  
S.R. Pandey, Member**

**Date: 22/07/2025**

## DAILY ORDER

- 1 The matter was kept for hearing on 17.07.2025.
  
- 2 At the outset, Mr. Jaydip M. Patel, appearing on behalf of the Petitioner, submitted that the Petitioner is aggrieved by the inaction of the Respondents in considering the request for extension of timelines. The Petitioner prays for an extension of 6 months from the date of issuance of the Implementation Order for commissioning of the Evacuation Line along with bays and metering system, and further seeks an extension of 6 months from the date of this Commission's Order for commissioning of the entire project. He further submitted that no reply has been received from Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.
  
- 3 Ld. Adv. Mr. Aneesh Bajaj, appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 3, sought three weeks' time to file a Reply in the present matter and requested that the matter be posted thereafter as per the convenience of the Commission.
  
- 4 Mr. Jaydip M. Patel, on behalf of the Petitioner, submitted that he has no objection to the adjournment sought by the Respondent.

5. We note that Ld. Adv. Mr. Aneesh Bajaj has sought time for 4 weeks to file its Reply let it be filed within 3 weeks' time with a copy to the Petitioner. The Petitioner is at liberty to file its Rejoinder to Reply filed by the Respondent No. 3, if any, within one weeks' time with a copy to others.
- 6 Next date of hearing will be intimated separately.
- 7 We order accordingly.

**Sd/-**  
**[S.R. Pandey]**  
**Member**

**Sd/-**  
**[Mehul M. Gandhi]**  
**Member**

Place: Gandhinagar.  
Date: 22.07.2025.

