

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
GANDHINAGAR**

Petition No. 2398 of 2024.

In the matter of:

Petition under Section 63 read with Section 86 (1) (b) and other applicable provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking for adoption of tariff discovered under tariff based competitive bidding process conducted by PGVCL vide RfS No. PGVCL/ Project/ DSM/ PM-KUSUM-C-FLS/TN-10 dated 29.02.2024 for 125 Nos. of solar plants of total 276.0 MW through RESCO model, for solarization of various 11 kV feeders in PGVCL for implantation of FLS (Feeder Level Solarization) under PM KUSUM - C Scheme of Government of India.

Petitioner : Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Represented by : Ld. Adv. Mr. Aneesh Bajaj alongwith Mr. S.N.Parmar
Objector : M/s Gensol Engineering Limited
Represented by : Ld. Advocates Mr. Aditya Singh, Ms. Anikruti Jain, Mr. Vineet Gupta, Ms. Anjali Dhingra and Mr. Divyansh Singh

CORAM:

**Mehul M. Gandhi, Member
S.R. Pandey, Member**

Date: 16/07/2025.

DAILY ORDER

1. The matter was kept for hearing on 27.05.2025.
2. Ld. Adv. Mr. Aditya K Singh, appearing on behalf of the Objector, submitted that the arguing counsel who was previously engaged by the Objector has withdrawn his Vakalatnama in the present Petition due to personal reasons and seek the permission of the Commission to file Vakalatnama on behalf of the Objector in the present matter. He also stated that he has informed

to the Objector in this regard. He submitted that the Commission may issue notice to the Objector and hear him. He requested the Commission to grant the adjournment in the matter.

3. Ld. Adv. Mr. Aneesh Bajaj appearing on behalf of the Petitioner, submitted that the objections raised by the Objector are not valid and sustainable. He has no objection to the adjournment sought by the counsel appearing for the Objector. However, the Commission may grant short time for adjournment
4. Heard the parties. We note the statement of the Ld. Counsel that the arguing counsel who was previously engaged by the Objector has withdrawn his Vakalatnama in due to personal reasons and also sought the permission of the Commission to file Vakalatnama on behalf of the Objector in the present matter and the same was informed to the Objector by him. He further requested the Commission to grant the adjournment in the matter by providing the opportunity of the hearing to the Objector . We also note the submissions of the counsel of the Petitioner that the objections raised by the Objector are not valid and maintainable and the Petitioner has no objection to the adjournment sought by the Objector. In view of this, we decide to grant the adjournment so as to grant an opportunity of hearing to the Objector.
5. Order accordingly.
6. Next date of hearing will be intimated separately.

Sd/-
[S.R. Pandey]
Member

Sd/-
[Mehul M. Gandhi]
Member

Place: Gandhinagar.

Date: 16/07/2025.