

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
GANDHINAGAR**

**Petition No. 2282 of 2023.**

**In the matter of:**

**Petition under Section 63 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Guidelines for tariff based Competitive Bidding Process for procurement of power from grid connected Solar PV Power Projects dated 03.08.2017 issued thereunder interalia seeking adoption of tariff of Rs. 2.78 quoted by the Petitioner in the competitive bidding process conducted by the Respondent by way of RfS No. GUVNL/700 MW/Solar (Phase IX) dated 18.03.2020 for development of Solar PV Projects of 700 MW capacity of Dholera Solar Park and consequential direction to the Respondent to enter into PPA with the Petitioner for 100 MW Solar Project awarded to the Petitioner by way of unequivocal Letter of Award dated 09.10.2020.**

Petitioner : Veena Energy Renewables Urja Pvt. Limited

Represented by : Ld. Advocates Mr. Aniket Prasoon and Mr. Aman Sheikh.

Vs.

Respondent : Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited

Represented by : Ld. Adv. Mr. Aneesh Bajaj and Mr. K.T. Shah.

**And**

**Petition No. 2283 of 2023.**

**In the matter of:**

**Petition under Section 63 read with Section 86 (1) (b) of the Electricity Act, 2003 in regard to Competitive Bidding Process conducted vide RfS dated 18.03.2020 (Phase IX) issued by GUVNL.**

**Alongwith**

**IA No. 14 of 2023 in Petition No. 2283 of 2023.**

**In the matter of:**

**Application filed by GUVNL to bring on record subsequent events and for impleadment.**

**And**

**IA No. 37 of 2024 in Petition No. 2283 of 2023.**

**In the matter of:**

**Application filed by the Petitioner GUVNL for Amendment of the Petition and impleadment of two Respondents in the main Petition No. 2283 of 2023.**

Petitioner : Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited (GUVNL)

Represented by : Ld. Adv. Mr. Aneesh Bajaj and Mr. K.T. Shah.

Vs.

Respondent No. 1 : TEQ Green Power Pvt. Limited (TGPPL)

Represented by : Ld. Adv. Ms. Tajali Andrabi

Respondent No. 2 : Veena Energy Renewables Urja Pvt. Limited

Represented by : Ld. Advocates Mr. Aniket Prasoon and Mr. Aman Sheikh.

Respondent No. 3 : Tata Power Company Limited (TPCL)

Represented by : Ld. Advocates Mr. Shivam Sinha and Mr. Chetan Saxena alongwith Mr. Jatin Ghuliani.

Respondent No. 4 : Gujarat Power Corporation Limited (GPCL)

Represented by : Ld. Adv. Mr. Anuj K. Trivedi.

Respondent No. 5 : SJVN Limited

Represented by : Nobody was present.

Respondent No. 6 : Renew Solar Power Pvt. Limited

Represented by : Ld. Adv. Mr. Sarvaswa Chhajer.

**CORAM:**

**Mehul M. Gandhi, Member**

**S. R. Pandey, Member**

**Date: 18/10/2025.**

**DAILY ORDER**

1. The matter was kept for hearing on 13.10.2025.
2. At the outset, Ld. Adv. Mr. Aniket Prasoon, appearing on behalf of the Vena Energy Renewables Urja Pvt. Limited submitted that pursuant to last hearing held on 17.04.2025, the Commission has passed the Daily Order dated 10.06.2025 wherein the Commission has directed the Respondent GPCL to file its reply specifically dealing with issues related to the land allocation to the Project Developer and claim of various charges etc. with a copy to others. However, Vena Energy Renewables Urja Pvt. Limited has not received any reply from the Respondent GPCL till date. He requested the Commission to direct GPCL to expedite the land allocation issues with communication of land co-ordinating and to

provide the copy of reply to them to enable to file submissions, if any, in the matter.

3. Ld. Adv. Mr. Shivam Sinha, appearing for Tata Power Company Limited, submitted that he has also not received any response from the GPCL. He requested the Commission to direct GPCL to expedite its response to enable to file submissions, if any, in the matter.
4. Ld. Adv. Ms. Tajali Andrabi, appearing for TEQ Green Power Pvt. Limited (TGPPL), submitted that in terms of the directives of the Commission vide its Order dated 11.03.2024, TGPPL has executed the PPA with the GUVNL but till date GPCL has not allocated land to TGPPL. She also submitted that TGPPL has not received a copy of reply from the GPCL.
5. Ld. Adv. Mr. Anuj Trivedi, appearing on behalf of the GPCL submitted that the GPCL has filed an affidavit dated 02.07.2025 in the present matter and also served upon the same to the parties in the matter through email. As such, he has agreed to serve once again the copy of its reply to the other side.
6. Ld. Adv. Mr. Aneesh Bajaj, appearing on behalf of the GUVNL submitted that GUVNL has signed the PPA with TGPPL but with regard to other bidders, no PPAs have been signed by GUVNL. He submitted that there is no role of GUVNL in development of solar park as GUVNL. As such, GUVNL has also not received copy of the response filed by GPCL in the matter.
7. Heard the parties. We note that during the hearing, Ld. Adv. appearing on behalf of GPCL submitted that GPCL has filed its submissions in the matter vide affidavit dated 02.07.2025. Whereas, the counsels

appearing for the Vena Energy Renewables Urja Pvt. Limited, Tata Power Company Limited and TEQ Green Power Pvt. Limited have submitted that they have not received the copy of submissions filed by GPCL till date. We also note that the counsel of GPCL has agreed to provide the same to them. Accordingly, let the same be served by GPCL to them, within a week from the date of this Order. GPCL is directed to ensure that the copy of reply is duly served upon to the other side and also file proof of service in this regard after serving the same to the other side. The other parties would be at liberty to file their submissions, if any, within weeks' time, after receipt of the reply from the GPCL. In view of above, the matter is adjourned in the interest of justice.

8. Next date of the hearing will be intimated separately.

9. Order accordingly.

**Sd/-**

**[S.R. Pandey]**

**Member**

**Sd/-**

**[Mehul M. Gandhi]**

**Member**

Place: Gandhinagar.

Date: 18/10/2025.