

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
GANDHINAGAR**

Petition No. 2282 of 2023.

In the matter of:

Petition under Section 63 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Guidelines for tariff based Competitive Bidding Process for procurement of power from grid connected Solar PV Power Projects dated 03.08.2017 issued thereunder inter alia seeking adoption of tariff of Rs. 2.78 quoted by the Petitioner in the competitive bidding process conducted by the Respondent by way of RfS No. GUVNL/700 MW/Solar (Phase IX) dated 18.03.2020 for development of Solar PV Projects of 700 MW capacity of Dholera Solar Park and consequential direction to the Respondent to enter into PPA with the Petitioner for 100 MW Solar Project awarded to the Petitioner by way of unequivocal Letter of Award dated 09.10.2020.

Petitioner : Veena Energy Renewables Urja Pvt. Limited

Represented by : Ld. Advocates Mr. Aniket Prasoon and
Mr. Aman Sheikh.

Vs.

Respondent : Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited

Represented by : Ld. Adv. Ms. Aishwariya Subramani and Mr. K.T.
Shah.

And

Petition No. 2283 of 2023.

In the matter of:

Petition under Section 63 read with Section 86 (1) (b) of the Electricity Act, 2003 in regard to Competitive Bidding Process conducted vide RfS dated 18.03.2020 (Phase IX) issued by GUVNL.

Alongwith

IA No. 14 of 2023 in Petition No. 2283 of 2023.

In the matter of:

Application filed by GUVNL to bring on record subsequent events and for impleadment.

And

IA No. 37 of 2024 in Petition No. 2283 of 2023.

In the matter of:

Application filed by the Petitioner GUVNL for Amendment of the Petition and impleadment of two Respondents in the main Petition No. 2283 of 2023.

Petitioner : Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited

Represented by : Ld. Adv. Ms. Aishwariya Subramani along with Mr. K.T. Shah.

Vs.

Respondent No. 1 : TEQ Green Power Pvt. Limited

Represented by : Ld. Adv. Mr. Rikit Shah

Respondent No. 2 : Veena Energy Renewables Urja Pvt. Limited

Represented by : Ld. Advocates Mr. Aniket Prasoon and Mr. Aman Sheikh.

Respondent No. 3 : Tata Power Company Limited

Represented by : Ld. Advocates Mr. Shivam Sinha and Mr. Kshitij Ujala

Respondent No. 4 : Gujarat Power Corporation Limited (GPCL)

Represented by : Ld. Adv. Mr. Sashmit Rore

Respondent No. 5 : SJVN Limited

Represented by : Nobody was present.

Respondent No. 6 : Renew Solar Power Pvt. Limited

Represented by : Ld. Adv. Mr. Apoorva Misra.

CORAM:

Pankaj Joshi, Chairman
Jatin N. Thakkar, Member

Date: 12/05/2026.

DAILY ORDER

1. The matter was listed for hearing on 23.04.2026.

2. Ld. Adv. Mr. Sashmit Rore, appearing proxy for Adv. Mr. Anuj K. Trivedi for Gujarat Power Corporation Limited (GPCL), submitted that GPCL is actively pursuing the matter to expedite land-related issues. He submitted that although GPCL has entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU), the allotment and possession of land are within the domain of Dholera Special Investment Regional Development Authority (DSIRDA) and Dholera Industrial City Development Limited (DICDL). He further submitted that GPCL has addressed communications to DSIRDA and DICDL in November and February respectively, requesting them to accord priority to the matter and facilitate possession of the land. He further submitted that GPCL has received a reply from Veena Energy Renewables Urja Pvt. Limited, wherein issues relating to the Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) have been raised. He submitted that GPCL is not a party to the said PPA, and therefore, any disputes arising therefrom are *inter-se* between the concerned parties, in respect of which GPCL has no locus. He further submitted that any directions issued by the Commission to GPCL, in the absence of Dholera Special Investment Regional Development Authority (DSIRDA) and Dholera Industrial City Development Limited (DICDL) would not be efficacious. He submitted that GPCL, being a nodal agency, is making continuous efforts, including addressing communications, to secure possession of land from DSIRDA and DICDL. He also agreed to file an affidavit placing on record the updated status on these aspects.
3. Ld. Adv. Ms. Aishwariya Subramani, appearing on behalf of GUVNL, submitted that a letter has been addressed to the Energy and Petrochemicals Department, Govt. of Gujarat seeking its intervention for expeditious resolution of the issue involved in the present matter. She further submitted that as per the last communication from DICDL to GPCL, certain issues had been flagged out including the requirement of a resurvey etc.
4. Ld. Adv. Mr. Aniket Prason, appearing on behalf of Veena Energy Renewables Urja Pvt. Limited, submitted that under the terms of the PPA,

the obligation to provide land squarely rests upon GPCL. He further submitted that the steps taken by GPCL ought to be brought on record by way of an affidavit in the present matter.

5. Heard the parties. We note that when the matter was called out, nobody appeared on behalf of the Respondent No. 5, SJVNL, nor any communication was received regarding their inability to remain present, despite of the hearing notice was issued to the Respondent No. 5. We note that Ld. Adv. Mr. Sashmit Rore, appearing proxy for Adv. Mr. Anuj K. Trivedi for Gujarat Power Corporation Limited (GPCL), has agreed to file an Affidavit providing the updated status of land related issues in the matter. Accordingly, GPCL is directed to file an affidavit within three weeks from the date of this Order, with a copy to other parties. The other parties would be at liberty to file their submissions, if any, within two weeks' time, upon receipt of the Affidavit filed by GPCL. In view of the above, the matter is adjourned in the interest of justice. We also direct the GPCL to keep the Commission informed about the status of the land related issues from time to time.
6. Next date of the hearing will be intimated separately.
7. Order accordingly.

Sd/-
[Jatin N. Thakkar]
Member

Sd/-
[Pankaj Joshi]
Chairman

Place: Gandhinagar.

Date: 12/05/2026.