

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
GANDHINAGAR**

Petition No. 2092 of 2022.

In the Matter of:

Petition under Sections 42, 43, 50, 86 & other applicable provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with GERC (Electricity Supply Code and Related Matters) Regulations, 2015, GERC (Standard of Performance of Distribution Licensee) Regulations, 2005 and other applicable Regulations of the GERC for seeking deemed extension of time for completion of 66 kV transmission line for 5000 kVA contract demand on post-facto basis and consequently directing MGVCL to consider 60 days' notice period from the deemed extended date and to refund minimum demand charges levied by MGVCL on deemed release basis on 5000 kVA contract demand till physical release of connection.

Petitioner : Virgo Aluminium Limited
Survey No. 363-2, 363-3, 357, 358, 370
Village Sokhda, Taluka Matar,
District Kheda – 387 570.

Represented By : Shri D. S. Doshi

V/s.

Respondent No. 1 : Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Sardar Patel Vidyut Bhavan, Race Course Circle,
Vadodara – 390007, Gujarat.

Represented By : Learned Advocate Ms. Ranjitha Ramchandran
and Mr. J. R. Shah

Respondent No. 2. : Gujarat Energy Transmission Corp. Limited
Sardar Patel Vidyut Bhavan, Race Course Circle,
Vadodara- 390 007, Gujarat.

Represented by : Learned Advocate Ms. Ranjitha Ramchandran
and Mr. S. K. Nair and Mr. H. G. Karia

CORAM:

Mehul M. Gandhi, Member
S.R. Pandey, Member

Date: 09/07/2025.

DAILY ORDER

1. The matter was kept for hearing on 16.10.2024.
2. Shri D.S. Doshi, on behalf of the Petitioner argued at length in Petition No. 1816 of 2019 and completed its arguments in the Petition. He submitted that the basic arguments are common in all four Petition, being Petition No. 1826 of 2019, in case of M/s. J K Cement Limited Vs. MGVCL & Anr., in the Petition No. 1858 of 2020 filed by M/s. Concord Biotech Limited Vs. MGVCL & Anr., in the Petition No. 1816 of 2019 M/s. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Vs MGVCL & Anr., and including the present Petition.
3. We note that the Petitioner has argued at length in Petition No. 1816 of 2019 and completed its arguments in that matter. We also note that due to paucity of time the Petitioner could not start its arguments in present matter. We decide to adjourn the present matter.
4. The next date of hearing would be intimated separately.
5. We order accordingly.

Sd/-
[S. R. Pandey]
Member

Sd/-
[Mehul M. Gandhi]
Member

Place: Gandhinagar.
Date: 09/07/2025.