

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.9310 of 2022

Riyazuddin Ansari Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand Opp. Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHASH CHAND

For the Petitioner : Mr. R.S. Mazumdar, Sr. Advocate
For the State : Mr. S.K. Tiwari, S.P.P.
For the Informant : Md. Zaid Ahmed, Advocate

06/09.11.2022 Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant.

This bail application has been filed on behalf of the abovenamed applicant with prayer to release on bail in connection with Putki (Moonidih O.P.) P.S. Case No.141 of 2021 registered under Sections 498-A, 304-B/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Dhanbad.

Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the F.I.R. of this case was lodged by the father of the deceased against seven named accused including the applicant with the allegations that the daughter of the informant was married on 10.08.2020 with the applicant. After solemnization of marriage his daughter was subjected to cruelty for demand of dowry by her husband and other family members. On 12.12.2021 at 11 o' clock of day time, the son-in-law of the informant had made demand of Rs.5 lacs in cash and Alto car and for non-fulfilment of the same he threatened to kill the daughter of the informant. On 29.12.2021, the informant received information from his daughter that she was admitted to the hospital and he reached there and found her daughter dead in injured condition.

Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is the husband of the deceased and the allegation in regard to demand of dowry are general and omnibus against all the named accused. It is further submitted that several co-accused have been granted bail by the co-ordinate Bench and this Bench as well. The applicant has been languishing in jail since 14.01.2022.

Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State as well as learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the contentions made by the learned counsel for the applicant and contended that the applicant is the husband of the deceased, who is the direct beneficiary of the alleged demand of five lacs rupees in cash and alto car as well. There is evidence against the applicant that the he had tortured the daughter of the informant soon before death for non-fulfilment of said demand. The incriminating articles were also

-2-

recovered from the house of the applicant. Moreover, the conduct of the applicant was very much unnatural. The homicidal death of daughter of informant was caused in matrimonial house.

In view of the submissions made and materials on record, the bail application of the applicant is hereby rejected.

Rohit

(Subhash Chand, J.)