

WEB COPY

HIGH COURT LEGAL SERVICES COMMITTEE, CHENNAI
Lok Adalat-I organised by the High Court Legal Services Committee
Saturday, the 13th day of September, 2025

LOK ADALAT AWARD

(Chapter VI and u/s 21 of Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987)
Presided over by
The Hon'ble Mr.JUSTICE M.JOTHIRAMAN

and

Members

Mr.K.Ramakrishnan, District Judge (Retd.),
Mr.K.V.Muthu Visakan, Advocate

S.A.No.563 of 2022

(This Second Appeal is filed against the Judgment and decree dated 27.10.2021 made in A.S.No.45 of 2016 on the file of the Subordinate Judge, Bhavani, Erode District, reversing the judgment and decree dated 03.08.2016 made in O.S.No.128 of 2010 on the file of the I Additional District Munsiff, Bhavani)

1.Sarojini @ Seerayammal
2.Bhanumathi
3.Santhi ..Defendants 3 to 5/Respondents 3 to 5/Appellants

Vs.

Appaiya Gounder (Died)
1.Subasuriya ..Plaintiff/Appellant/Respondent
2.Sudha

3.The General Manager,
BSNL, Office of the Assistant General Manager,
Telephone Bhavan, Erode. ..Plaintiff, 2nd and 6th
Defendants/Appellant, 2nd and 6th
Respondents/Respondents

On representation of counsel for both sides, this case is taken up for settlement before the Lok Adalat. Both the parties are present. Mr.R.Ram Kumar, for Mr.T.M.Hariharan the learned counsel for the Appellants and Mr.D.Keerthirajan, for Mr.N.Manokaran, the learned counsel for the respondents 1 & 2 and Mr.S.Gopinathan, learned counsel for the 3rd respondent are present. After mutual discussion, negotiation, mediation and conciliation between both parties, they arrived at a compromise to settle the matter as follows:

**TERMS OF SETTLEMENT**

WEB COPY

Bhanumathi/ 2nd appellant and Shanthi/3rd appellant are present before this Court along with their respective counsel. Sarojini @ Seerayammal/1st appellant appeared through Whats app call.

2.Subasuriya/1st respondent and Sudha/2nd respondent along with their counsel are present before this Court.

3.Both parties have agreed to settle the issue and they also filed a Joint Memo of Compromise dated 12.09.2025 which runs to 18 pages and signed by all the parties in each and every page under Order XXIII Rule 3 CPC, and the same is recorded.

4.In the light of the above Joint Memo of Compromise, the Second Appeal Shall stands disposed of in terms of Joint Memo of Compromise so far as the suit claim is concerned and parties to it. The Joint Memo of Compromise shall form part of the Award. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed, if any.


5. The full Court fee paid shall be refunded to the appellant in the manner provided under Section 69-A of the Tamil Nadu Court-Fees and Suits Valuation Act, 1955 and the Court Fees Act, 1870 as provided for under sub Sec.1 of Section 21 r/w 25 of LSA Act 1987 as amended in 1994.

1.Sarojini @ Seerayammal

2.Bhanumathi

3.Santhi

Counsel for the appellants



1.Subasuriya

WEB COPY

2.Sudha

3.The General Manager,
BSNL, Office of the Assistant General Manager,
Telephone Bhavan, Erode.

Counsel for the respondents 1 to 3

	Judge	
Member		Member

To: The parties/Advocate concerned

Copy to:

- 1.The Subordinate Judge, Bhavani, Erode District.
- 2.The I Additional District Munsiff, Bhavani.
- 2.The Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee, Chennai.
- 3.The Section Officer, V.R.Section, High Court, Madras.
- 4.The Section Officer, Lok Adalat Section, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

4



M.JOTHIRAMAN, J,

gbi/jai

S.A.No.563 of 2022

13.09.2025