

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL MASTER IV,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS

C.S.No. 122 OF 2022

Date: 20.11.2025
Time : 12.23 P.M.

Name : Mr. A.L. Vijaya Bhaskar Rao (PW1)

Cross examination by Mr. R. Thanjan, Counsel for the defendant.

Solemnly affirmed:

Q1: Who is Guru Prasad, the defendant?

A: He is my own brother.

Q2: What is the mode of payment you have paid to the defendant?

A: All 12 pro notes by way of cash paid by me.

Q3: In whose presence the amount mentioned in 12 pro notes have been paid to the defendant?

A: In the presence of my wife, A. Aruna, I paid the amounts.

Q4: (Ex.P1 is shown to the witness.) Have you mentioned anywhere in the pro note, Ex.P1 that you have paid the amount in the presence of your wife?

A: No. Witness adds: Since he is my own brother, I did not mention the witness.

Q5: (Exs.P2 & P3 are shown to the witness.) Is it correct to state that you have not got any witness's signature in Exs.P2 & P3?

A: Yes.

Q6: I put it to you that if at all the amount was given to the defendant, you would have mentioned the name of the witness and since you have not paid any amount to the defendant, the witness name was not mentioned.

A: I deny.

Q7: (Exs.P3 to P7 are shown to the witness.) Who are all the witnesses mentioned in Exs.P3 to P7, pro-notes?

A: In Ex.P3 to Ex.P7 – the witnesses are, one is my youngest son, Kishore Kumar and another one is my wife's brother, Y. Srinivasalu.

Q8: I put it to you that you have obtained the signatures of your son and your brother-in-law for creating pro-notes falsely and with view to grab from the defendant.

A: I deny. At the time of payment, both my son and my brother-in-law were present. Therefore, their signatures were obtained.

Q9: (Ex.P2 (Series) (5 Nos) are shown to the witness.) In whose presence, the amounts were paid to the defendant?

A: The amounts were paid in the presence of my wife, A. Aruna and my 3rd son, Kishore Kumar to the defendant.

Q10: I put it to you that you have not obtained the signatures from any of the independent witnesses at the time of the alleged payments in the pro-notes and therefore, you have not paid any amount to the defendant.

A: I deny.

Q11: I put it to you that you have not at all paid any single pie to the defendant.

A: I deny.

Q12: I put it to you that in case of huge payment that is more than Rs.5 lakhs, you ought to have paid either by way of bank transaction or any other mode as recognized which was not done by you and therefore, the claim amount all false one.

A: I deny. I paid the amounts from my savings.

Q13: What is your occupation?

A: Travels business and car rental. I have own house and I derived rental income through the house.

Q14: Approximately, what is your monthly income?

A: Rs.70,000/- to Rs.80,000/- income derived from the rental income and Rs.50,000/- to Rs.60,000/- income derived from travels business.

Q15: I put it to you that you unable to earn such huge amount through the aforesaid business and you have no source of income to pay such huge amount by way of loan to the defendant.

A: I deny. I have also joined a chit transaction through the same, I will get a lump sum amount.

Time: 12.52 P.M.

Taken down in open Court, read over and explained to the witness and the same is admitted by the witness to be true and correct and signed before me.

ADDITIONAL MASTER-IV