



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI 2023:JHHC:19412

W.P.(S) No. 4324 of 2022

1. Ajit Kalindi aged about 57 years.
2. Majhi Kalindi aged about 53 years, both son of Late Bipati Kalindi, resident of village+P.O.-Tikar, P.S. Ichargh, District-Seraikella-Kharsawan (Jharkhand).

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through Chief Secretary Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. – Dhurwa, P.S. Jagarnathpur, Ranchi (Jharkhand).
2. The Principal Secretary Revenue & Land Reforms Department Government of Jharkhand, P.O. – Dhurwa, P.S. Jagarnathpur, Ranchi (Jharkhand).
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Seraikella-Kharsawan, P.O. & P.S. Seraikella (Jharkhand).
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms Officer Seraikella-Kharsawan, P.O. & P.S. Chandil, District Seraikella-Kharsawan (Jharkhand).
5. The Circle Officer, Ichagarh Block, P.O. Gorangkocha, P.S. Ichagarh, District Seraikella-Kharsawan (Jharkhand).

... .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD

For the Petitioner : Mr. Bhola Nath Rajak, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Praveen Akhauri, SC(Mines)-I
Ms. Aishwarya Prakash, AC to SC(Mines)-I

ORAL ORDER

05/Dated: 19th May, 2023

1. This writ petition is under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, whereby and whereunder, direction has been sought for early conclusion of the demarcation proceeding initiated vide annexure-6 on 23.01.2016.
2. It has been contended that the aforesaid demarcation case has been instituted and to that effect, due notice was given to the petitioner as on 23.01.2016. The petitioner, in pursuance thereof, has appeared but as yet the said proceeding is lying pending, hence, this writ petition has been filed for seeking a direction for early conclusion of the demarcation case.



3. Learned counsel for the respondent-State has submitted that since 2019, prayer of the petitioner is only for early conclusion of the demarcation case, as such, the writ petition may be disposed of by passing appropriate direction for its early conclusion.
4. This Court, taking into consideration the aforesaid submission, is of the view that keeping the writ petition pending will not serve the purpose and taking into consideration the nature of prayer made by the petitioner, the demarcation case is to be decided by directing the Circle Officer concerned to decide the aforesaid demarcation case as has been initiated vide annexure-6 in which the petitioner has been noticed and as per the petitioner, due reply has also been filed.
5. Accordingly, let the demarcation case be decided, if not already decided, within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order.
6. Needless to say that if there is any interested party, the same may also be provided opportunity of hearing before taking any final decision.
7. In view thereof, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)