



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.2360 of 2022

Grands Mining

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Others... .. Respondents

With

W.P(C) No. 3652 of 2020 with W.P.(C) Nos. 2362, 2363, 2395, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2546, 2549, 2606, 2610, 2613, 2615, 2766, 2778, 2781, 2782, 2783, 2787, 2807, 2815, 2816, 2963, 2973, 2974, 3048, 3089, 3090, 3091, 3100, 3110, 3112, 3348, 3376, 3377, 3378, 3379, 3380, 3448, 3545, 3676, 3707, 3720, 3790, 3826, 3989, 3990, 4108, 4117, 4118, 4130, 4131, 4132, 4133, 4414, 4513, 4558, 4991, 4992, 5025, 5026, 5029, 5032, 5069, 5088, 5162, 5199, 5969, 6277, 6337, 6338 and 6348 of 2022, W.P.(C) Nos. 145, 146, 202, 209, 461, 466, 580, 1547, 1548, 1549, 1585, 1588, 1683, 3658, 4132 and 5868 of 2023, W.P.(C) Nos.272, 1698, 1809, 1819, 1821, 3288, 3289, 3293, 3297, 3346, 3352, 3501, 3568, 3621, 3626, 3629, 3783, 3794, 3797, 3800, 3814 of 2024

CORAM: HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Indrajit Sinha, Advocate : Mr. Ankit Vishal, Advocate : Mr. Sumeet Gadodia, Advocate : Mr. Rahul Kumar, Advocate : Mr. K.K. Ojha, Advocate : Mr. Saman Ahmad, Advocate : Mr. Meha Verma, Advocate : Mr. Sudhanshu Kumar Singh, Advocate : Mr. Amit Kumar Sinha, Advocate : Mr. Sahay Gaurav Piyush, Advocate : Mr. Nitish Parth Sarthi, Advocate : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate : Mr. Haider Ali, Advocate : Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondents	: Mr. Rajiv Ranjan, Advocate General : Mr. Sachin Kumar, A.A.G.-II : Ms. Chatali C. Sinha, A.C. to A.A.G.-IA : Mr. Rakesh Mr. Shahi, AC to SC(L&C)-I : Mr. Ashutosh Anand, Addl. A.G.-III : Mrs. Nirupama, A.C. to Sr. S.C.-I : Mr. Jayant Franklin Toppo, G.A.-V



: Ms. Divya, A.C. to S.C.-III
: Mr. Kunal Chandra Suman, AC to GP-II
: Mr. Raunak Sahay, A.C. to G.P.-V
: Mr. Sarvendra Kr., AC to SC(L&C)-III

Order No. 19/Dated 12th August, 2024

I.A. No.6448 of 2024 in W.P.(C) No.3800 of 2024

1. The instant interlocutory application has been filed for correction in name of petitioner in writ petition as the mining has been awarded in the name of the applicant, namely, Arti Mandhyan, and not in the name of the company, i.e., M/s. N.S. & Co. on whose behalf the writ petition has been filed.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that inadvertently the name of the company has been mentioned as petitioner but in order to avoid the complexity, the name of the present applicant, i.e., Arti Mandhyan, is necessary for adjudication of the dispute.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed that for the ends of justice the instant interlocutory application may be allowed.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has not raised any objection to such prayer.

5. In view of the averment made in the interlocutory application and the submission made on behalf of the parties, the instant interlocutory application, I.A. No.6448 of 2024, is allowed.



6. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to make necessary correction in the cause title in course of the day.

7. I.A. No.6448 of 2024 stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) No.2360 of 2022 & Batch matters

8. Reference may be made to the order dated 01.08.2024.

9. The written notes of arguments have been filed on behalf of the parties.

10. Let the same be taken on record.

11. Judgment reserved.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, A.C.J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)

Birendra/